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## Randomization Test

## Basic Procedure:

1. Calculate a test statistic for the original sample.
2. Simulate a new (randomization) sample under the null hypothesis.
3. Calculate the test statistic for the new sample.
4. Repeat $2 \& 3$ thousands of times to generate a randomization distribution.
5. Find a $p$-value as the proportion of simulated samples that give a test statistic as (or more) extreme as the original sample.

## Tests in this Breakout

Chi-square goodness-of-fit
Chi-square test for association Cat. vs. Cat. ANOVA for means Cat. vs. Quant.

ANOVA for regression Quant. vs. Quant.

These all test for a relationship
$H_{0}$ : No relationship How do we use the data to simulate samples under this null hypothesis?

## No Relationship via Scrambling

| $x_{1}$ | $y_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $x_{2}$ | $y_{2}$ |
| $x_{3}$ | $y_{3}$ |
| $x_{4}$ | $y_{4}$ |
| $x_{5}$ | $y_{5}$ |
| $x_{6}$ | $y_{6}$ |
| $x_{7}$ | $y_{7}$ |
| $x_{8}$ | $y_{8}$ |
| $x_{9}$ | $y_{9}$ |

Two Quantitative

## No Relationship via Scrambling

| $x_{1}$ | $y_{8}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $x_{2}$ | $y_{7}$ |
| $x_{3}$ | $y_{5}$ |
| $x_{4}$ | $y_{4}$ |
| $x_{5}$ | $y_{1}$ |
| $x_{6}$ | $y_{6}$ |
| $x_{7}$ | $y_{9}$ |
| $x_{8}$ | $y_{2}$ |
| $x_{9}$ | $y_{3}$ |

Two Quantitative

## No Relationship via Scrambling

| $x_{1}$ | $y_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $x_{2}$ | $y_{2}$ |
| $x_{3}$ | $y_{3}$ |
| $x_{4}$ | $y_{4}$ |
| $x_{5}$ | $y_{5}$ |
| $x_{6}$ | $y_{6}$ |
| $x_{7}$ | $y_{7}$ |
| $x_{8}$ | $y_{8}$ |
| $x_{9}$ | $y_{9}$ |

Two Quantitative

| $A$ | $y_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $A$ | $y_{2}$ |
| $A$ | $y_{3}$ |
| $B$ | $y_{4}$ |
| $B$ | $y_{5}$ |
| $B$ | $y_{6}$ |
| $C$ | $y_{7}$ |
| $C$ | $y_{8}$ |
| $C$ | $y_{9}$ |\(\quad\left[\begin{array}{|l|}\hline y_{1} <br>

\hline y_{2} <br>
\hline y_{3} <br>
\hline y_{4} <br>
\hline y_{5} <br>
\hline y_{6} <br>
\hline y_{7} <br>
\hline y_{8} <br>
\hline\end{array}\right.\)

One Categorical One Quantitative

## No Relationship via Scrambling

| $x_{1}$ | $y_{8}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $x_{2}$ | $y_{7}$ |
| $x_{3}$ | $y_{5}$ |
| $x_{4}$ | $y_{4}$ |
| $x_{5}$ | $y_{1}$ |
| $x_{6}$ | $y_{6}$ |
| $x_{7}$ | $y_{9}$ |
| $x_{8}$ | $y_{2}$ |
| $x_{9}$ | $y_{3}$ |

Two Quantitative

| $A$ | $y_{8}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $A$ | $y_{7}$ |
| $A$ | $y_{5}$ |
| $B$ | $y_{4}$ |
| $B$ | $y_{1}$ |
| $B$ | $y_{6}$ |
| $C$ | $y_{9}$ |
| $C$ | $y_{2}$ |
| $C$ | $y_{3}$ |$\quad$| $y_{1}$ |
| :--- |
| $y_{2}$ |
| $y_{3}$ |
| $y_{4}$ |
| $y_{5}$ |
| $y_{6}$ |
| $y_{7}$ |
| $y_{8}$ |

One Categorical One Quantitative

## No Relationship via Scrambling

| $x_{1}$ | $y_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $x_{2}$ | $y_{2}$ |
| $x_{3}$ | $y_{3}$ |
| $x_{4}$ | $y_{4}$ |
| $x_{5}$ | $y_{5}$ |
| $x_{6}$ | $y_{6}$ |
| $x_{7}$ | $y_{7}$ |
| $x_{8}$ | $y_{8}$ |
| $x_{9}$ | $y_{9}$ |

Two Quantitative

| $A$ | $y_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $A$ | $y_{2}$ |
| $A$ | $y_{3}$ |
| $B$ | $y_{4}$ |
| $B$ | $y_{5}$ |
| $B$ | $y_{6}$ |
| $C$ | $y_{7}$ |
| $C$ | $y_{8}$ |
| $C$ | $y_{9}$ |


| $A$ | yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| $A$ | no |
| $A$ | no |
| $B$ | yes |
| $B$ | no |
| $B$ | yes |
| $C$ | yes |
| $C$ | yes |
| $C$ | no |$\quad$| yes |
| :--- |
| no |
| no |
| yes |
| yo |
| yes |
| yes |
| no |

One Categorical Two Categorical One Quantitative

## No Relationship via Scrambling

| $x_{1}$ | $y_{8}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $x_{2}$ | $y_{7}$ |
| $x_{3}$ | $y_{5}$ |
| $x_{4}$ | $y_{4}$ |
| $x_{5}$ | $y_{1}$ |
| $x_{6}$ | $y_{6}$ |
| $x_{7}$ | $y_{9}$ |
| $x_{8}$ | $y_{2}$ |
| $x_{9}$ | $y_{3}$ |


| $A$ | $y_{8}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $A$ | $y_{7}$ |
| $A$ | $y_{5}$ |
| $B$ | $y_{4}$ |
| $B$ | $y_{1}$ |
| $B$ | $y_{6}$ |
| $C$ | $y_{9}$ |
| $C$ | $y_{2}$ |
| $C$ | $y_{3}$ |


| $A$ | yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| $A$ | yes |
| $A$ | no |
| $B$ | yes |
| $B$ | yes |
| $B$ | yes |
| $C$ | no |
| $C$ | no |
| $C$ | no |$\quad$| yes |
| :--- |
| no |
| no |
| yes |
| yes |
| yes |
| yes |

Two Quantitative

## One Categorical Two Categorical One Quantitative

## What Statistic?

We can scramble to simulate samples under a null of "no relationship". What statistic should we compute for each sample?
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Chi-square for } \\ & \text { Association: }\end{aligned} \quad \chi^{2}=\sum \frac{(\text { observed }- \text { expected })^{2}}{\text { expected }}$
Let
$\begin{aligned} & \text { ANOVA for } \\ & \text { Means: }\end{aligned} \quad F=\frac{M S G}{M S E}=\frac{\sum n_{i}\left(\bar{x}_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} / d f_{1}}{\sum\left(x-\bar{x}_{i}\right)^{2} / d f_{2}} \begin{aligned} & \text { technology } \\ & \text { take care of } \\ & \text { calculations }\end{aligned}$
ANOVA for
Regression:

$$
F=\frac{\text { MSModel }}{M S E}=\frac{\sum(\hat{y}-\bar{y})^{2} / d f_{1}}{\sum(y-\hat{y})^{2} / d f_{2}}
$$

## Example \#1: Which Award?

If you could win an Olympic Gold Medal, Academy Award, or Nobel Prize, which would you choose?
Do think the distributions will differ between male and female students?

|  | Olympic | Academy |  | Nobel |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $109(97.0)$ | 11 | $(16.5)$ | 73 | $(79.4)$ | 193 |  |
| Female | $73(85.0)$ | 20 | $(14.5)$ | 76 | $(69.6)$ | 169 |  |
|  | 182 | 31 |  | 149 |  | $\mathrm{n}=362$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\chi^{2}=8.24 \quad \text { Is that an unusually large value? }
$$

## Randomization for Awards

- Shuffle 362 cards (193 male, 169 female)
- Randomly deal 182 cards to Olympic, 31 to Academy, and the remaining 149 to Nobel.
- Find the two-way table (Sex x Award) and compute $\chi^{2}$.
- Repeat 1,000 's of times to get a distribution under the null.

Time for technology...
StatKey
http://lock5stat.com/statkey

## StatKey Chi-square Test for Association

Student Survey (Award by Gender) - Show Data Table Edit Data Upload File

| Generate 1 Sample | Generate 10 Samples | Generate 100 Samples | Generate 1000 Sar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Randomization Dotplot of $\chi^{2}$, Null hypothesis: No Assoc

$\square$ Left Tail $\square$ Two-Tail $\nabla_{\text {Right Tail }}$


## Example \#2: Sandwich Ants

## Experiment:

Place pieces of sandwich on the ground, count how many ants are attracted. Does it depend on filling?


|  | df | SS | MS | F |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groups | 2 | 1561.0 | 780.5 |  |
| Error | 21 | 2913.0 | 138.7 |  |
| Total | 23 | 4474.0 |  |  |

Favourite Experiments: An Addendum to What is the Use of Experiments
Conducted by Statistics Students? Margaret Mackisack
http://www.amstat.org/publications/jse/v2n1/mackisack.supp.html

## Randomization for Ants

- Write the 24 ant counts on cards.
- Shuffle and deal 8 cards to each sandwich type.
- Construct the ANOVA table and find the F-statistic.
- Repeat 1,000's of times to get a distribution under the null.

> StatKey


## Example \#3: Predicting NBA Wins

Predictor: PtsFor (Points scored per game)


## Randomization for NBA Wins

- Put the 30 win values on cards.
- Shuffle and deal the cards to assign a number of Wins randomly to each team.
- Compute the F-statistic when predicting Wins by PtsFor based on the scrambled sample.
- Repeat 1,000 's of times to get a distribution under the null.

> StatKey

Randomization Dotplot of F-Statistic ~, Null hypothesis: $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}=0$


## Example \#4: Rock, Paper, Scissors

Play best of three games each. Record counts for all choices.

| Rock |  | Paper |  | Scissors |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | $(72)$ | 67 | $(72)$ | 84 |  |
| $(72)$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Scissors


Let $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ be the respective population proportions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: p_{1}=p_{2}=p_{3}=1 / 3 \quad \text { Expected }=n p_{i}=216 \cdot \frac{1}{3}=72 \\
& H_{a}: \text { Some } p_{i} \neq 1 / 3
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\chi^{2}=\frac{(65-72)^{2}}{72}+\frac{(67-72)^{2}}{72}+\frac{(84-72)^{2}}{72}=3.03\right)
$$

## Randomization for RPS

- Start with an equal number of Rock, Paper, and Scissor cards.
- Sample 216 times with replacement.
- Construct the table of counts and compute a chisquare statistic
- Repeat 1000's of times to get a distribution under

$$
H_{0}: p_{1}=p_{2}=p_{3} .
$$



## What Statistic?

Chi-square for Association:

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum \frac{(\text { observed }- \text { expected })^{2}}{\text { expected }}
$$

ANOVA for Means:

$$
F=\frac{M S G}{M S E}=\frac{\sum n_{i}\left(\bar{x}_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} / d f_{1}}{\sum\left(x-\bar{x}_{i}\right)^{2} / d f_{2}}
$$

ANOVA for
Regression:

$$
F=\frac{M S M o d e l}{M S E}=\frac{\sum(\hat{y}-\bar{y})^{2} / d f_{1}}{\sum(y-\hat{y})^{2} / d f_{2}}
$$

If we were ONLY using randomization, would we still use these?

## What Statistic?

But StatKey doesn't do that statistic...

```
library(mosaic)
rand_dist=do(5000)*statistic(randomize(data))
```

SSqs=do (5000) *anova (lm (sample (y) ~x,data=db))
library (infer)
rand_dist <- data \%>\%
specify (y ~ x) \%>\%
hypothesize (null = "independence") \%>\%
generate (reps $=10000$, type $=$ "permute") \%>\%
calculate (stat = STATISTIC)

## Thank you!

## QUESTIONS?


Slides posted at www.lock5stat.com

